PTO/SB/21 (09-04 Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Application Number** 10/082,601 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date February 22, 2002 First Named Inventor **FORM** Satoshi Nakajima Art Unit 2152 Examiner Name Doan, Duyen My (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 109908-130337

Total Number of Pages in This Su	noissimul	/ morney bosher rames	109908-13	0337	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declara Extension of Time Reque Express Abandonment R Information Disclosure S	ation(s) PPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPPP	Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocate Change of Correspondence Ferminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on C	e Address	Retu	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):  urn Receipt Postcard
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing under 37 CFR 1.5	Parts 52 or 1.53				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Name Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.					
Signature Signature					
Printed name Robert C. Peck					
Date August 8, 2006			Reg. No.	56,826	3
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:  Signature					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

.. Chriscaden

Typed or printed name

Date

August 8, 2006

### Decket No.: 109908-130337 MAIL STOP: APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS

hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with cient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

By: Wilte Musical Date: August 8, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

App. No.

10/082,601

Confirmation No.: 57

5731

Inventor

Satoshi Nakajima

Filed

February 22, 2002

Title

Art Unit

AUTONOMOUS RENDERING OF EMAIL ATTACHMENTS

Ait Oill

2152

Examiner

Doan, Duyen My

Customer No.

25,943

MAIL STOP: APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# RESUBMISSION OF APPELLANT'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT'S APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Dear Sir:

This is a re-submission of Appellant's Brief in response to the Non-Compliant Notice mailed on August 1, 2006. The deficiency has been corrected. This appeal furthers the Notice of Appeal filed on February 27, 2006. The appeal arises from a final decision by the Examiner in the final Office Action, dated November 2, 2005. The final decision was in response to arguments filed on August 8, 2005, in response to an earlier office action, mailed May 12, 2005.

Payment in the amount of \$500.00 to cover the fee for filing the *Brief on Appeal* was tendered with the original submission. Appellant respectfully requests consideration of this appeal by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for allowance of the present patent application.

#### Real Party in Interest:

This application is assigned UI Evolution, Inc., having a principal place of business at 11245 SE 6th St., Suite 110, Bellevue, Washington 98004 by virtue of an assignment recorded with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on February 22, 2002, at Reel 012643 Frame 0158.

#### Related Appeals and Interferences:

To the best of Appellant's knowledge, there are no related appeals or interference proceedings currently pending, which would directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

#### Status of Claims:

Appellant appeals the rejection of claims 1-40. Claims 1-40 were pending and claims 1-36 were rejected in the final Office Action dated November 2, 2005. Claims 1-40 are reproduced, as pending, in Appendix A.

#### **Status of Amendments:**

Appellant has offered no amendments subsequent to the Examiner's final Office Action.

#### **Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter:**

Independent claim 1 is directed towards a computer implemented method that comprises

"processing by a computing device a binary file generated by a source application to identify one or more user interface displays rendered when contents of the binary file are viewed using the source application; and

generating by the computing device a self-contained representation of the one or more user interface displays including one or more specifications correspondingly specifying the one or more user interface displays, to enable viewing of said contents of said binary file without usage of said source application, by rendering said one or more user interface displays in accordance with said one or more specifications."

Element 104 of Figure 1 illustrates one example of an application, an email application 104 of an email sender 102, capable of performing the operations recited in claim 1. Element 104 of Figure 1 is described in detail on page 6, line 1 through page 7, line 9, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 2 is a flowchart illustrating selected operations of the example email application performing the operations recited in claim 1. The operations illustrated by Figure 2 are described in greater detail on page 7, line 10 through page 9, line 17, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 3 illustrates an example end user interface capable of facilitating the operations recited by claim 1. The end user interface illustrated by Figure 3 is described in greater detail on page 9, line 19 through page 10, line 13, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 4 illustrates an operational flow for the user interface display generating aspect recited in claim 1. The operational flow illustrated by Figure 4 is described in greater detail on page 10, line 15, through page 11, line 22, in accordance with some embodiments.

Independent claim 7 is directed towards a computer implemented method that comprises

- "identifying by a computing device a format of a binary file generated by a source application;
- selecting by the computing device a set of user interface display specifications from a plurality of sets of user interface display specifications, based at least in part on the identified format of the binary file; and
- representation of user interface displays of said binary file rendered when contents of the binary file are viewed using the source application, by

associating results of said processing of the binary file with the selected set of user interface display specifications, to enable viewing of the user interface displays without the source application."

Element 104 of Figure 1 illustrates one example of an application, an email application 104 of an email sender 102, capable of performing the operations recited in claim 7. Element 104 of Figure 1 is described in detail on page 6, line 1 through page 7, line 9, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 2 is a flowchart illustrating selected operations of the example email application performing the operations recited in claim 7. The operations illustrated by Figure 2 are described in greater detail on page 7, line 10 through page 9, line 17, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 3 illustrates an example end user interface capable of facilitating the operations recited by claim 7. The end user interface illustrated by Figure 3 is described in greater detail on page 9, line 19 through page 10, line 13, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 4 illustrates an operational flow for the user interface display generating aspect recited in claim 7. The operational flow illustrated by Figure 4 is described in greater detail on page 10, line 15, through page 11, line 22, in accordance with some embodiments.

Independent claim 15 is directed towards a computer implemented method of operation in an email recipient that comprises

- "receiving by a computing device an email message including an associated first attachment of a first attachment type;
- determining by the computing device whether said first attachment type is associated with a member of a group of one or more supported source applications;
- selecting by the computing device a set of one or more user interface display specifications from a plurality of sets of one or more user interface display specifications, based upon said first attachment type if it is determined said first attachment type is associated with a member of said group of one or more supported source applications;

launching by the computing device a locally accessible version of the associated source application;

simulating by the computing device one or more user input signals based upon said selected set of one or more user interface display specifications; and capturing by the computing device output responses of the associated source application to said one or more user input signals, and associating the captured output responses with the selected set of user interface display specifications to generate a self-contained representation of said first attachment to allow subsequent viewing of the attachment without further use of the associated source application."

Element 114 of Figure 1 illustrates one example of an application, an email application 114 of an email recipient 112, capable of performing the operations recited in claim 15. Element 114 of Figure 1 is described in detail on page 6, line 1 through page 7, line 9, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 2 is a flowchart illustrating selected operations of the example email application performing the operations recited in claim 15. The operations illustrated by Figure 2 are described in greater detail on page 7, line 10 through page 9, line 17, in accordance with some embodiments.

Independent claim 21 is directed towards an apparatus that comprises

"a storage medium having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions designed to

process a binary file generated by a source application to identify one or more user interface displays rendered when contents of the binary file are viewed using the source application,

generate a self-contained representation of the one or more user interface displays including one or more specifications correspondingly specifying the user interface displays, to enable viewing of said contents of said binary file, without usage of said source application, by rendering said one or more user interface displays in accordance with said one or more specifications; and

at least one processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the programming instructions."

Element 102 of Figure 1 illustrates an email sender 102 having an email application 104 capable of serving as the apparatus of claim 21. Element 102 of Figure 1 is described in detail on page 6, line 1 through page 7, line 9, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 2 is a flowchart illustrating selected operations that the apparatus of claim 21 may perform. The operations illustrated by Figure 2 are described in greater detail on page 7, line 10 through page 9, line 17, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 3 illustrates an example end user interface of the apparatus recited by claim 21. The end user interface illustrated by Figure 3 is described in greater detail on page 9, line 19 through page 10, line 13, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 4 illustrates an operational flow for the user interface display generating aspect of the apparatus recited by claim 21. The operational flow illustrated by Figure 4 is described in greater detail on page 10, line 15, through page 11, line 22, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 9 illustrates an apparatus capable of performing the operations of an email sender like the operations recited in claim 21, in accordance with some embodiments. The apparatus illustrated by Figure 9 is described in greater detail on page 15, line 21 through page 16, line 14, in accordance with some embodiments.

Independent claim 27 is directed towards an apparatus that comprises

"a storage medium having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions designed to

identify a format of a binary file generated by a source application; selecting a set of user interface display specifications from a plurality of sets of user interface display specifications, based at least in part on the identified format of the binary file, and

processing the binary file to generate a self-contained representation of user interface displays of said binary file rendered when contents of the binary file are viewed using the source application, by

associating results of said processing of the binary file with the selected set of user interface display specifications; and at least one processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the programming instructions."

Element 102 of Figure 1 illustrates an email sender 102 having an email application 104 capable of serving as the apparatus of claim 27. Element 102 of Figure 1 is described in detail on page 6, line 1 through page 7, line 9, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 2 is a flowchart illustrating selected operations that the apparatus of claim 27 may perform. The operations illustrated by Figure 2 are described in greater detail on page 7, line 10 through page 9, line 17, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 3 illustrates an example end user interface of the apparatus recited by claim 27. The end user interface illustrated by Figure 3 is described in greater detail on page 9, line 19 through page 10, line 13, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 4 illustrates an operational flow for the user interface display generating aspect of the apparatus recited by claim 27. The operational flow illustrated by Figure 4 is described in greater detail on page 10, line 15, through page 11, line 22, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 9 illustrates an apparatus capable of performing the operations of an email sender like the operations recited in claim 27, in accordance with some embodiments. The apparatus illustrated by Figure 9 is described in greater detail on page 15, line 21 through page 16, line 14, in accordance with some embodiments.

Independent claim 35 is directed towards an apparatus that comprises

"a storage medium having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions designed to

receive an email message including an associated first attachment of a first attachment type,

determine whether said first attachment type is a member of a group of one or more supported source applications,

selecting a set of one or more specifications from a plurality of sets of one or more user interface display specifications, based upon said first attachment type if it is determined said first attachment type is associated with a member of said group of one or more supported source applications,

launch a locally accessible version of the associated source application, simulate one or more user input signals based upon said selected set of one or more user interface display specifications, and capture output responses of the associated source application to said one or more user input signals, and associate the captured output responses with the selected set of user interface display specifications to generate a self-contained representation of said first attachment to allow subsequent viewing of the attachment without further use of the associated source application; and at least one processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the programming instructions."

Element 112 of Figure 1 illustrates an email recipient 112 having an email application 114 capable of serving as the apparatus of claim 35. Element 112 of Figure 1 is described in detail on page 6, line 1 through page 7, line 9, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 2 is a flowchart illustrating selected operations that the apparatus of claim 35 may perform. The operations illustrated by Figure 2 are described in greater detail on page 7, line 10 through page 9, line 17, in accordance with some embodiments. Figure 9 illustrates an apparatus capable of performing the operations of an email sender like the operations recited in claim 35, in accordance with some embodiments. The apparatus illustrated by Figure 9 is described in greater detail on page 15, line 21 through page 16, line 14, in accordance with some embodiments.

#### **Grounds For Rejection To Be Argued On Appeal:**

- I. Claims 1-3, 5-15, 18-23, 25-35, and 38-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0059565 to Reyna et al. (hereinafter "Reyna") in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0046349 to Burgin et al. (hereinafter "Burgin").
- II. Claims 4, 16-17, 24, and 36-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Reyna and Burgin, as applied to claim 1, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,014,688 to *Venkatraman et al.* (hereinafter "Venkatraman").

#### **Arguments:**

I. Rejections of claims 1-3, 5-15, 18-23, 25-35, and 38-40 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) were improper because Reyna and Burgin, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the claimed invention when the invention as claimed in claims 1-3, 5-15, 18-23, 25-35, and 38-40 is viewed as a whole.

To establish obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the Examiner must view the invention as a whole. Further, the Examiner is to perform the obviousness analysis in accordance with the standard set forth by the Supreme Court in *Graham v. John Deere Co.* That standard requires that the Examiner (1) determine the scope and content of the prior art; (2) ascertain the differences between the prior art and the claims in issue; (3) resolve the level of ordinary skill in the art; and (4) evaluate evidence of secondary considerations. 383 U.S. 1, 17-18 (1966); see also MPEP 2141. Secondary considerations include whether the invention met with commercial success, whether the invention answered a long felt need, and whether others attempting the invention have failed. *Graham*, 383 U.S. at 17-18. Further, in applying the *Graham* framework, the Examiner must consider the invention as a whole, without the benefit of hindsight. MPEP 2141.

Claim 1 recites a method comprising:

"processing by a computing device a binary file generated by a source application to identify one or more user interface displays rendered when contents of the binary file are viewed using the source application; and

generating by the computing device a self-contained representation of the one or more user interface displays including one or more specifications correspondingly specifying the one or more user interface displays, to enable viewing of said contents of said binary file without usage of said source application, by rendering said one or more user interface displays in accordance with said one or more specifications."

In contrast, Burgin merely teaches a method of generating a self-contained executable program that can be attached to an email. The executable contains both pictures and instructions to the recipient computer on how to view such pictures, the executable referred to by Burgin as an "album." However, Burgin's self-contained executable for viewing the pictures fails to disclose or suggest, expressly or inherently, "one or more specifications correspondingly specifying the one or more user interface displays that would have been rendered if the content is viewed by the source application." As claimed in claim 1, each user interface display is a display by some source application, if that source application is used to view the content, and each user interface display must have a corresponding specification specifying the end user interface display. No such teaching or suggestion is inherent in Burgin. Burgin is silent on whether the self-contained executable will render the content with the same end user interface displays rendered if the content is viewed by the source "picture" application. Moreover, even if the self-contained representation will render the pictures using the same end-user interface displays rendered by the source "picture" viewing application, the self-contained representation of Burgin may be practiced with one or more "specifications" (but less than the 1:1 correspondence required by claim 1) defining all of the user interface displays to be rendered. Thus, end user interface displays that are the same as those used by a source viewing application, and specifications

correspondingly specifying these user interface displays are neither expressly nor inherently disclosed nor suggested by Burgin.

Reyna does not remedy the deficiencies of Burgin. As the Examiner has noted, Reyna does not teach a self-contained representation, much less a self-contained representation that includes specifications correspondingly specifying user interface displays. Rather, Reyna discloses a method and apparatus for generating an output file that can be used on any sort of computing platform with any sort of user interface, in conjunction with a software application. The output file is generated by compiling a code generation file of a lowest common denominator programming language with a formatted/modified data file expressing data of a raw input data file in some platform independent formatting language such as XML, ASCII, or binary. The only binary file expressly or inherently disclosed by Reyna as being processed is a formatted/modified data file. The raw data file of Reyna is neither expressly nor inherently taught, nor suggested to be a binary file. Further, Reyna does not teach the processing of the formatted/modified data file to identify user interfaces displays. Consequently, Reyna does not disclose or suggest, expressly or inherently, "processing by a computing device a binary file generated by a source application to identify one or more user interface displays rendered when contents of the binary file are viewed using the source application." Thus, Reyna does not remedy the above discussed deficiencies of Burgin.

Therefore, for at least the foregoing reasons, Reyna and Burgin, individually or in combination, do not suggest claim 1, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Each of independent claims 7, 15, 21, 27, and 35 contains in substance the same recitations earlier discussed for claim 1. Accordingly, for at least the same reasons, claims 7, 15, 21, 27, and 35 are patentable over Reyna and Burgin under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Claims 3, 5-6, 8-11, 13-14, 18-19, 23, 25-26, 28-31, 33-34, and 38-39 depend from claims 1, 7, 15, 21, 27, and 35 respectively. Thus, for at least the same reasons, claims 3, 5-6, 8-11, 13-14, 18-19, 23, 25-26, 28-31, 33-34, and 38-39 are patentable over Reyna and Burgin under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

II. Rejections of claims 4, 16-17, 24, and 36-37 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) were improper because Reyna, Burgin, and Venkatraman, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the claimed invention when the invention as claimed in claims 4, 16-17, 24, and 36-37 is viewed as a whole.

As stated above, Reyna and Burgin, individually or combined, fail to disclose or suggest the required, recited operations of the present invention, as claimed in claims 1, 15, 21, and 35. Venkatraman does not remedy the above discussed deficiencies of Reyna and Burgin. Thus, even when combined with Venkatraman, the cited art fails to show or suggest the novel features that are noted when the invention of claims 1, 15, 21, and 35 is viewed as a whole.

Claims 4, 16-17, 24, and 36-37 depend from claims 1, 15, 21, and 35.

Consequently, claims 4, 16-17, 24, and 36-37 are patentable over the combination of Reyna, Burgin, and Venkatraman, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

#### **Conclusion**

Appellant respectfully submits that all the appealed claims in this application are patentable and requests that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences overrule the Examiner and direct allowance of the rejected claims.

The fees associated with the appeal brief were submitted with the original appeal brief. We do not believe any additional fees, in particular extension of time fees, are needed. However, should that be necessary, please charge our deposit account 500393. In addition, please charge any shortages and credit any overages to Deposit Account No. 500393.

Date:

August 8, 2006

Respectfully submitted

Robert C. Peck, Reg. No. 56,826

Agent for Appellant Applicant

Schwabe Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.

1420 Fifth, Suite 3010 Seattle, WA 98101

Tel: (206) 622-1711 Fax: (206) 292-0460

### Appendix A - Appealed Claims

(Previously Presented) A computer implemented method comprising:
 processing by a computing device a binary file generated by a source
 application to identify one or more user interface displays rendered when contents of
 the binary file are viewed using the source application; and

generating by the computing device a self-contained representation of the one or more user interface displays including one or more specifications correspondingly specifying the one or more user interface displays, to enable viewing of said contents of said binary file without usage of said source application, by rendering said one or more user interface displays in accordance with said one or more specifications.

- 2. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein each specification includes one or more transition rules specifying one or more transitions to one or more other user interface displays specified by one or more other specifications.
- 3. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 2, wherein each transition rule specifies transition to another user interface display specified by another specification when the user interface displays enter a particular user interface display state.
- 4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising: encoding by the computing device an electronic message having said selfcontained representation attached, using a MIME protocol, a Uuencode protocol, or a BinHex protocol; and

transmitting by the computing device said encoded electronic message and self-contained representation to one or more addressed recipients.

5. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 4, further comprising attaching by said computing device said self-contained representation to the electronic message.

6. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein each of said user interface displays comprises one or more display cells, and each of said specification comprises one or more display cell specifications correspondingly specifying the one or more display cells.

7. (Previously Presented) A computer implemented method comprising: identifying by a computing device a format of a binary file generated by a source application;

selecting by the computing device a set of user interface display specifications from a plurality of sets of user interface display specifications, based at least in part on the identified format of the binary file; and

processing by the computing device the binary file to generate a self-contained representation of user interface displays of said binary file rendered when contents of the binary file are viewed using the source application, by associating results of said processing of the binary file with the selected set of user interface display specifications, to enable viewing of the user interface displays without the source application.

8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, further comprising: attaching by the computing device said self-contained representation with an electronic message; and

transmitting by the computing device said electronic message and said attached self-contained representation to one or more recipients for viewing, where the viewing includes rendering said user interface displays in accordance with said user interface display specifications and user input(s).

- 9. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, wherein said binary file is either a word processing document or a spreadsheet document.
- 10. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, wherein said determining is based upon a filename extension associated with said binary file.

11. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, wherein said processing further comprises:

launching by the computing device a locally accessible version of the application;

simulating by the computing device user input(s) to said application based at least in part upon said selected set of user interface display specifications; and storing by the computing device output(s) from said application in response to said user input(s).

- 12. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, wherein each specification includes one or more transition rules specifying one or more transitions to one or more other user interface displays specified by one or more other specifications.
- 13. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 12, wherein each transition rule specifies transition to another user interface display specified by another specification when the user interface displays enter a particular user interface display state.
- 14. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, wherein each of said user interface displays comprises one or more display cells, and each of said specification comprises one or more display cell specifications correspondingly specifying the one or more display cells.
- 15. (Previously Presented) A computer implemented method comprising: receiving by a computing device an email message including an associated first attachment of a first attachment type;

determining by the computing device whether said first attachment type is associated with a member of a group of one or more supported source applications;

selecting by the computing device a set of one or more user interface display specifications from a plurality of sets of one or more user interface display specifications, based upon said first attachment type if it is determined said first

attachment type is associated with a member of said group of one or more supported source applications;

launching by the computing device a locally accessible version of the associated source application;

simulating by the computing device one or more user input signals based upon said selected set of one or more user interface display specifications; and

capturing by the computing device output responses of the associated source application to said one or more user input signals, and associating the captured output responses with the selected set of user interface display specifications to generate a self-contained representation of said first attachment to allow subsequent viewing of the attachment without further use of the associated source application.

16. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 15, further comprising: associating by the computing device said representation with said email message in the form a second attachment, replacing said first attachment;

encoding by the computing device said email message and said second attachment; and

transmitting said encoded email message and second attachment to a designated recipient.

- 17. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 16, wherein said encoding comprises encoding the representation in accordance with the MIME protocol.
- 18. (Original) The method of claim 15, wherein said first attachment type comprises a proprietary format.
- 19. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 15, wherein each of said plurality of user interface displays comprises one or more display cells, and each of said user interface display specifications comprises one or more display cell specifications.

20. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 19, wherein each of said specifications further comprises one or more transition rules, each transition rule specifying a transition to a user interface display when the user interface displays enter a particular display state.

21. (Previously Presented) An apparatus comprising:

a storage medium having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions designed to

process a binary file generated by a source application to identify one or more user interface displays rendered when contents of the binary file are viewed using the source application,

generate a self-contained representation of the one or more user interface displays including one or more specifications correspondingly specifying the user interface displays, to enable viewing of said contents of said binary file, without usage of said source application, by rendering said one or more user interface displays in accordance with said one or more specifications; and

at least one processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the programming instructions.

- 22. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein each specification includes one or more transition rules specifying one or more transitions to one or more other user interface displays specified by one or more other specifications.
- 23. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 22, wherein each transition rule specifies transition to another user interface display specified by another specification when the user interface displays enter a particular user interface display states.
- 24. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein the programming instructions are further designed to encode an electronic message having said self-

contained representation attached, using either a MIME protocol, a Uuencode protocol, or a BinHex protocol.

- 25. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein the programming instructions are further adapted to attach said self-contained representation to the electronic message.
- 26. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein each of said user interface displays comprises one or more display cells, and each of said specification comprises one or more display cell specifications correspondingly specifying the one or more display cells.
- 27. (Previously Presented) An apparatus comprising:

a storage medium having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions designed to

identify a format of a binary file generated by a source application; selecting a set of user interface display specifications from a plurality of sets of user interface display specifications, based at least in part on the identified format of the binary file, and

processing the binary file to generate a self-contained representation of user interface displays of said binary file rendered when contents of the binary file are viewed using the source application, by associating results of said processing of the binary file with the selected set of user interface display specifications; and

at least one processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the programming instructions.

28. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 27, wherein the programming instructions are further designed to

attach said self-contained representation with an electronic message; and

transmit said electronic message and said attached self-contained representation to one or more recipients for viewing, where the viewing includes rendering said user interface displays in accordance with said user interface display specifications and user inputs.

- 29. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 27, wherein said binary file is either a word processing document or a spreadsheet document.
- 30. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 27, wherein said programming instructions are adapted to perform said determining based upon a filename extension associated with said binary file.
- 31. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 27, wherein the programming instructions are further designed to

launch a locally accessible version of the application;

simulate user input(s) to said application based at least in part upon said selected set of user interface displace specifications; and

store output(s) from said application in response to said user input(s).

- 32. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 27, wherein each specification includes one or more transition rules specifying one or more transitions to one or more other user interface displays specified by one or more other specifications.
- 33. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 32, wherein each transition rule specifies transition to another user interface display specified by another specification when the user interface displays enter a particular user interface display states.
- 34. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 27, wherein each of said user interface displays comprises one or more display cells, and each of said specification comprises one or more display cell specifications correspondingly specifying the one or more display cells.

Appendix A: Page 7 of 9 SEA/109908/130337/RPE/361954.1

35. (Previously Presented) An apparatus comprising:

a storage medium having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions designed to

receive an email message including an associated first attachment of a first attachment type,

determine whether said first attachment type is a member of a group of one or more supported source applications,

selecting a set of one or more specifications from a plurality of sets of one or more user interface display specifications, based upon said first attachment type if it is determined said first attachment type is associated with a member of said group of one or more supported source applications,

launch a locally accessible version of the associated source application, simulate one or more user input signals based upon said selected set of one or more user interface display specifications, and

capture output responses of the associated source application to said one or more user input signals, and associate the captured output responses with the selected set of user interface display specifications to generate a self-contained representation of said first attachment to allow subsequent viewing of the attachment without further use of the associated source application; and at least one processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the programming instructions.

36. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 35, wherein the programming instructions are further designed to

associate said representation with said email message in the form a second attachment replacing said first attachment;

encode said email message and said second attachment; and transmit said email message and said second attachment to a designated recipient.

- 37. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 36, wherein said encoding comprises encoding the representation in accordance with the MIME protocol.
- 38. (Original) The apparatus of claim 35, wherein said first attachment type comprises a proprietary format.
- 39. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 35, wherein each of said plurality of user interface displays further comprises one or more display cells, and each of said user interface display specifications comprises one or more display cell specifications.
- 40. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 39, wherein each of said specifications further comprises one or more transition rules, each transition rule specifying a transition to a user interface display when the user interface displays enter a particular display state.

# Appendix B – Evidence Submitted Appendix

No evidence has been submitted under 37 C.F.R. 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132. No evidence entered by Examiner has been relied upon by Appellant in the appeal.

## Appendix C – Related Proceedings Appendix

To the best of Appellant's knowledge, there are no related appeals or interference proceedings currently pending, which would directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.